Question 10 evidence tables

## Question 10: For eligible patients with ischaemic stroke and large vessel occlusion, does proceeding direct to mechanical thrombectomy improve functional outcome compared to thrombectomy plus intravenous thrombolysis (IVT) before?

NB Any discrepancies between reviewers in evidence quality and comment were discussed at the corresponding evidence review meeting

LAO = large artery occlusion, BT = best therapy, CSC = comprehensive stroke centre, LKW = last known well, DEVT = direct endovascular therapy, sICH = symptomatic ICH, EVT = endovascular therapy, TICI = thrombolysis in cerebral infarction, MT = mechanical thrombectomy, IVT = intravenous thrombolysis, LVO = large vessel occlusion, tPA = tissue plasminogen activator, mRS = modified Rankin scale, SOP = standard operating practice, DMT = direct mechanical throbectomy, MI = myocardial infarction, MCA = middle cerebral artery, IV = intravenous, FPE = final prediction error, DSA = Digital Subtraction Angiography, DTN = door-to-needle, ICA = internal carotid artery, BA = basilar artery, TnK = tenecteplase, rTPA = recombinant tissue plasminogen activator, SR = systematic review, MA = meta-analysis, RCT = randomised controlled trial, IPDMA = individual patient data meta-analysis, MDT = multidisciplinary team, PICO = patient/population, intervention, comparison and outcomes, OR = odds ratio, CI = confidence interval, QoL = quality of life, ADL = activities of daily living, OR = odds ratio, RR = relative risk, aOR = adjusted odds ratio, cOR = crude odds ratio, CI = confidence interval, RoB = risk of bias, I2 = heterogeneity statistic.

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
	ZJ. Chen et al. (2021). Comparison of Prior Bridging Intravenous Thrombolysis With Direct Endovascular Thrombectomy for Anterior Circulation Large Vessel Occlusion: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Frontiers in Neurology, 12: 602370		Whether BT superior to DEVT.	Mortality & sICH safety endpoints.	, ,	+ (only 45% of data RCT derived and of those 3 all have biases of concern, 1 very substantial)
310	Comparison of Prior Bridging Intravenous Thrombolysis With Direct Endovascular	analysis of 8 studies (3 RCTs -	Bridging (prior IVT + EVT) compared to direct EVT therapies.	months. Secondary: mortality, TICI 2b-3, SICH, asymptomatic	mortality (OR = 1.060, 95% CI	

NATIONAL CLINICAL

**GUIDELINE FOR STROKE** for the United Kingdom and Ireland

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
	Anterior Circulation Large Vessel Occlusion: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Frontiers in Neurology, 12: 602370				1.015, 95% CI = 0.793–1.300, P = 0.905), SICH (OR = 1.320, 95% CI = 0.931–1.870, P = 0.119) between bridging therapy and direct EVT. After adjusting for confounders, bridging therapy showed a lower recanalization rate (effect size or ES = -0.377, 95% CI = -0.684 to -0.070, P = 0.016), but no other outcomes compared with direct EVT.	
	Direct versus bridging	nested within a registry. Patients over 65 years.		Modified Rankin Scale at 90 days.	difference in the 90- day	This was an average study. Two cohorts were identified within a registry.
	Direct versus bridging mechanical	Registry-based. Non-randomised. Comparison of cohorts.			Lower number of passes in the direct group.	Non-randomised. Not clear why patients were denied IVT in the direct group.

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
	Direct mechanical intervention versus bridging therapy in stroke patients eligible	direct MT patients. Essen June 2012-aug 2013 and Bern Feb	MT to bridging with MT. All bridging patients received full or 2/3 dose IVT.	have qualified for bridging therapy. Baseline characteristics; co-morbidity, mean NIHSS, vessel occlusion similar. Shorter time from onset to diagnosis in	functional independence or excellent outcome. Mortality 27% in each group. Matched pair and multivariate matching also showed no difference in outcomes. Patients with ICA occlusion had higher mortality with	Older study, possibly with older protocols, older SOPs, non-matched but with post hoc
	Direct mechanical intervention versus bridging therapy in stroke patients eligible	2 centre registry study (Germany & Switzerland) undertaken 2009- 2014 and 2012-13. 249 bridging and 111 direct MT patients.	bridging therapy.	mortality, SICH, asymptomatic ICH.	No difference in any outcomes either for full cohort or subgroup of matched pairs analysis (n=103).	- Historical data from two centres. Interventionalist-determined treatment.
	(2021). SWIFT DIRECT: SolitaireTM With the	randomized, open-label, blinded- endpoint (PROBE) trial utilizing an adaptive statistical design.		outcome at 90 days.	Not available.	Excellent trial.

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
	controlled, multicentre study. International Journal of Stroke, :					
	(2021). SWIFT DIRECT: SolitaireTM With the Intention For Thrombectomy Plus Intravenous t-PA Versus DIRECT SolitaireTM Stent- retriever Thrombectomy in Acute Anterior Circulation Stroke: Methodology of a randomized, controlled, multicentre study. International Journal of Stroke, :	Multicentre, prospective, randomized 1:1, blinded end- point design. 48 stroke centres in Europe and Canada. First patient enrolled October 2017. 408 patients enrolled (201 in direct MT group) Inclusion criteria: Patients with	solitaire not preceded by alteplase, compared with control/standard of MT with solitaire after IV thrombolysis Rescue device use allowed after 3 passes with solitaire for both groups.	with good outcome (mRS 0-2) at 90 days Secondary outcomes: 90 day mortality, level of disability at 90 days (mRS shift analysis), change in NIHSS at 24 hours, door to reperfusion time, health related quality of life at 90 days. Secondary technical efficacy outcomes: successful reperfusion prior to MT, successful reperfusion by end of MT, total reperfusion (TICI 3). Safety outcomes: serious	Fischer et al. (2022). Results unavailable from abstract. Primary outcome: Failed to meet non-inferiority margin for experimental arm direct MT . 90 day good outcome 56.7% direct MT vs 65.2% IVT + MT, adjusted risk difference -7.3%, lower limit of one sided 95% CI -15.1%. Secondary outcomes: no significant differences between groups for reduced	~
	(2021). Direct thrombectomy versus bridging thrombolysis		MT alone; 1226 MT +	MRS.	23.8% in MT+ IVT vs 18.2% in MT alone groups had minimal disability (p<0.001). Ordinal regression analysis showed reduced odds ratio of progressing to severe disability of 0.762 for MT/IVT vs MT alone. No sig difference in ICH rates: 177% vs 21.5% MT vs MT/IVT.	+

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
	Sample data. <i>Neurosurgical focus,</i> 51:1 E4					
	(2021). Direct thrombectomy versus bridging thrombolysis		MT alone; 1226 MT +	morbidities balanced. ICH, MRS.	reduced odds ratio of progressing to severe	+ Given large numbers but multiple biases relating to institutional SOPs are likely, and have unknown impact.
	Alteplase before	Europe. 539 patients.	alone, primary end point functional	functional outcome at 90 days.Death and sICH main	Results showed neither superiority nor noninferiority og EVT alone.Incidence SICH similar. Mortality slightly lowervin IVT plus EVT group.	**
	R. R. Leker et al. (2018). Direct Thrombectomy versus Bridging for Patients with Emergent Large- Vessel Occlusions. Interventional Neurology, 7:6 403- 412	registry of patients presenting within 4 hours of stroke onset. Cohort. Non-randomised.	IVT plus MT. 159 patients underwent bridging and 111 underwent		Higher TICI 3 rate in the MT alone group (74% v 61%) No significant difference in the major outcome markers.	Non-randomised.

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
	Mechanical Thrombectomy with or without Intravenous Thrombolysis in Acute Ischemic Stroke: A	involving 2,143 patients examining the efficacy and safety outcomes of MT versus IVT/MT in	Note differing doses of IVT (alteplase 0.9 mg/kg vs 0.6 mg /kg) MT delivered within 8 hours of onset.	outcome/good outcome. mRS 0-1 excellent outcome (secondary). Recanalisation TICI 2b/3. Mortality 90 days. sICH.	44.3% vs 45.6% (no differing effects between Asian and non Asian group). For secondary outcome (ms RS 0-1). Higher rates seen in MT group versus IVT/MT with a risk difference of -10.1% (p=0.04) 4 trials. I think heterogeneity is high though (64%) No significant difference in recanalization rates No difference in mortality 16.6% vs 17.6% (DMT) sICH 5.6% (IVT/MT) vs 4.6% (MT): non significant Onset to puncture quicker in	included in analysis and terminated early). Not convinced this should have been included in analysis as
	Mechanical Thrombectomy with or without Intravenous Thrombolysis in Acute Ischemic Stroke: A Meta-Analysis for Randomized Controlled Trials. <i>European Neurology,</i> :	Selection method: study was an RCT, study investigated effects of MT with or without IVT in anterior circulation stroke	outcomes following MT alone (intervention/experim ental group) compared with standard of IVT + MT.	outcome (mRS 0-2) at 90 days. Secondary outcomes: excellent outcome (mRS 0-1 at 90 days), recanalization, sICH, mortality at 90 days.	groups. Secondary outcomes: Higher proportion of excellent outcome at 90 days in MT only group (risk difference -10.1%, RR 0.82, OR 0.75, p=0.04). Higher rate of recanalization in IVT + MT group. No difference between groups in sICH and mortality.	0 Poor quality study with significant flaws. In design, one of search criteria is listed that patient be eligible for IV thrombolysis, however in 4 of the included studies patients in direct MT group were largely those ineligible for IVT or IVT contra-indicated (REVASCAT, STAR, SWIFT, MR CLEAN). There is therefore

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
						selection bias in these studies, with potential significant baseline confounders in the MT alone groups. It is misleading to claim this is a meta-analysis of 7 RCTs. Four of the RCTs were not designed to directly compare MT alone with IVT + MT, and data is post hoc/subgroup analysis from those trials. The current meta- analysis did not undertake analysis of individual patient data from those trials, but conducted only study level analysis.
	Endovascular Treatment With and Without Intravenous Thrombolysis in Large	A systematic review with meta- analysis of all available studies comparing clinical outcomes between BT and EVT alone A total of 93 studies enrolling 45,190 patients.	endovascular therapy alone.	outcome. modified Rankin Scale (mRS) at discharge and 90 days or the longest available follow-up time point.	higher likelihood of a good outcome at 90 days in the meta-analysis of 58 studies (cOR 1.361)	This was a good attempt to maximise data. However, the data is not clean. When confined to randomised data, there was similar rate of good outcome between BT and EVT.
	Endovascular Treatment With and	Meta-analysis of 93 studies with 45,190 patients included. Comparison of bridging therapy with direct MT.	direct to MT versus thos with bridging therapy who went on	mortality, recanalisation, early recovery, number of passes. Time from symptom onset to groin puncture	1.36 increased odds of good outcome with BT cf MT, increased recanalisation OR1.271, lower 90-day mortality OR 0.619. SICH rates similar, early recovery and number of passes. No difference in time form onset to groin puncture	+ Huge number of patients. Complex statistical methodology to synthesised data to ensure minimal bias.

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
	Endovascular thrombectomy	Systematic review & meta- analysis of 4 RCTs (DIRECT-MT, DEVT, SKIP, MR CLEAN No IV) 1633 participants.	before EVT.	days Secondary: mRS 0–1 at 90 days; successful reperfusion at final angiogram eTICI score ≥2 b; any ICH; SICH; all- cause mortality by 90 days	Primary: (mRS 0–2) at 90 days, crude cumulative rates 46.0% with direct EVT versus 45.5% with bridging IVT plus EVT. Pooled results from a random-effect model showed the risk difference of functional independence was 1% (95% CI –4% to 5%). The lower 95% CI bound of –4% fell within the non-inferiority margins of –15%, –10%, –6.5%, and –5%, but crossed the most stringent non-inferiority margin of –1.3%. Secondary endpoints: mRS 0–1 at 90 days, 25.6% with direct EVT versus 24.2% with bridging IVT plus EVT; eTICI 2b-3 76.5% with direct EVT versus 81.0% with bridging IVT plus EVT; SICH symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage were 4.9% with direct EVT versus 5.8% with bridging IVT plus EVT; mortality 17.4% with direct EVT group versus 16.4% with bridging IVT plus EVT.	
			IVT and MT. 817 patients assigned to MT alone and 816 to IVT plus MT.	(mRs). Mortality. Recanalisation (TICI) Safety: any intracranial haemorrhage, SICH (Heidleberg/SITS MOST	No significant difference in functional outcome or mortality. Slightly lower recanalization with MT alone (76.5% versus 81.0%). Risk difference for any intracranial haemorrhage was	Acceptable quality of study.

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes		Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
	clinical trials. Journal of NeuroInterventional Surgery, :				9% (95% CI 3% to 15%), favouring MT alone. Risk difference for SICH was 1% (95% CI –1% to 3%) between MT alone and IVT plus MT.	
	Inhouse Bridging Thrombolysis Is	Design:Multicentric retrospective study' Subjects:881 patients.	LVOS. To assess whether bridging IVT prior to EVT has positive effect on reperfusion times ,successful reperfusion and functional outcome compared to EVT alone.	Outcome measures: mRs and mortality at 90 days, change in NIHSS from admission to discharge, groin puncture to reperfusion times; rates of successful recanalization (TICI 2b or greater) Any	reperfusion time. Rates of successful reperfusion higher in patients with bridging IVT.Trend	+ Retrospective study but shows IVT prior to EVT safe and no reason from this study to change to EVT alone.
	(2022). DIRECT-SAFE: A Randomized Controlled Trial of DIRECT Endovascular Clot Retrieval versus Standard Bridging Therapy. <i>J Stroke</i> , 24:1 57-64	clinical outcome of ischemic stroke patients with in-tracranial internal carotid artery, middle	thrombectomy or bridging IV thrombolysis with thrombectomy.	mRS 0-2 at 90 days or return to baseline.	No data.	Excellent trial.
321			IVT plus MT.	Primary outcomes: Functional independence (mRs 0-2 at 90 days)		

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
	Clot Retrieval versus Standard Bridging Therapy. <i>J Stroke,</i> 24:1 57-64			Secondary outcomes: Excellent outcome (mRS 0-1) Ordinal shift mRS. sICH up to 72 hours post intervention Good angiographic reperfusion (mTICI 2b–3) at completion of procedure.		
	Intravenous alteplase has different effects on the efficacy of aspiration and stent	trial data (RCT between aspiration and stent retriever) looking at outcomes with and without prior 'bridging' TPA. 270 patients.	within 4 hours who would have been eligible for IV TPA 235 of 270.	pre MRS, occlusion site, onset to groin puncture all balanced. TICI score, FPE, SICH, MRS at 3/12. Higher proportion of patients receiving Alteplase were direct admissions.	proportion MRS 0-2 in TPA group: 55.6% vs 40% in univariate analysis. Multivariate analysis showed	+ Balanced groups clinically, blinded angiographic assessment. Interesting conclusions that TPA has no interaction with stent retrievers and aspiration alone is better than with TPA.
		data.	alone compared. &8% IVT +EVT ,22%	outcome, mortality, reperfusion, first pass effect and symptomatic	IVT + EVT was associated with better functional outcome and lower mortality. Successful reperfusion , first pass effect and sICH did not differ.	+ Observational study

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
	Association, 8:11 e011592					
	(2021). Efficacy and safety of bridging thrombolysis initiated before transfer in a	Germany. Patients from a prospective data base.Drip and ship stroke service. 442 bridging thrombolysis 370 no bridging thrombolysis.		before stroke and at 3 months. ICH and mortality at 3 months. LVO before and after transfer at DSA.	BT was strongest independent	Non-randomised.
	Outcomes of	Meta analysis. 30 studies.	Compared Mt with IV plus MT.	3/12.successful reperfusion. Symptomatic ICH.	IVT plus MT benefited more in functional outcome without significantly increasing sICH comparing with MT in a metanalysis of 8970 patients with AIS of large vessel occlusion in the anterior circulation. Rate of successful reperfusion was higher and mortality lower.	**
	Clinical and Neuroimaging	cohort study with 226 included. Anterior Circulation LAO strokes	Stratified but not randomised as Bridging therapy (BT) and direct to EVT (E=DEVT) 72% BT, 28% DEVT	Secondary: Shift analysis mRS Mortality sICH	57% FI for BT vs 44% for DEVT, adOR 2.02 (1.2-3.6) Lower mortality for BT (adOR 0.2, 0.07-0.58) No differences in other outcomes but trends for BT better in lower NIHSS (<15), Drip n ship and smaller cores (<50mls).	+ Many biases

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
	Clinical and Neuroimaging Outcomes of Direct Thrombectomy vs Bridging Therapy in Large Vessel Occlusion: Analysis of the SELECT Cohort Study. <i>Neurology</i> , 96:23 e2839-e2853	Multi-centre prospective observational cohort study in US. Comparing processes, outcomes and safety between patients undergoing MT and IVT/MT in centres (both in transferred and direct capability MT centres) with LVO. Assessed baseline patient and process characteristics in relation effects on outcome (subgroup analysis with tests of heterogeneity). Enrollment up to 24 hours using CTP (initially 8hrs). ICA, M1 and M2, NIHSS > 6, pre- morbid mRS 0-1. ASPECTS >6.	MT.	2(functional independence ) Secondary outcome: mRS 0-1 (ordinal scale) 90 day mortality sICH TICI 3 reperfusion Subgroup analysis based on NIHSS <15, ischaemic core 50 cm3 and mode of transfer	pts IVT/MT 162 pts 34% of patients incurred transfer to MT centre No significant differences in key process times such as arrival to groin puncture between both groups. Also no differences in time from LKW to MT centre arrival time	Quality acceptable however: 1 Non randomised 2 Confounders 3 Small study 4 Unclear what percentage of patients from each centre contributed to study (generalisablity). Subgroup analysis tempered with caution with small numbers.

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
	Effect of Mechanical Thrombectomy	Japan. RCT of 204 Non-inferiority design in anterior circ stroke presenting to CSC within 4.5h of onset.	BT with lower dose alteplase versus DEVT.	With non-inferiority margin an OR of 0.74. Usual safety outcomes.	margin FI: 59% BT vs 57% DEVT	+ Modest bias Small size Not generalisable as low dose IVT
	Effect of Mechanical Thrombectomy without vs with Intravenous Thrombolysis on Functional Outcome among Patients with Acute Ischemic Stroke: The SKIP Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA -	label-controlled trial (Japan)	MT vs IVT (alteplase 0.6 mg/kg) MT rtPA delivered < 4.5 hours.	Secondary outcome ordinal shift analysis mRS sICH at 36. hours TICI ≥ 2b	101 (MT) 103 (IVT/MT) NIHSS 18 Median ASPECTS 8 Patients equally matched Note: randomisation to puncture time 20 minutes (MT) and 22 minutes (IVT/MT) Primary outcome: 59.4% (MT) v 57.3% (IVT/MT). No significant difference and odds ratio was 1.09 (0.63 to infinity). i.e. 9% increase in odds of favourable outcome with MT alone. The outer margins cross 0.74 non inferior margin. Demonstrating non inferiority not proven. No significant differences in secondary outcomes in ordinal	compared to UK. No missing follow-up data All centres had capability to deliver both IVT and MT so may not be applicable to other modes of delivery. Open label. Fast process times.

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
					Effects were similar across	mg/kg alteplase and Cl intervals for OR very wide so effects may be underestimated.
	Thrombectomy Versus Combined Thrombolysis and	Chinese hospitals. 788 patients	retrospectively determined to have	successful recanalization, door-to-puncture time, SICH, and intraprocedural embolization.	points; P=0.82) and successful recanalization (86.6% versus 89.3%; P=0.23); MT group had shorter door- to-puncture time (median, 112 versus 136 minutes; β=–45.02 [95% CI, –68.31 to	Non-use of IVT at physician discretion (reasons given – financial, side effects, non- consent). No data on interval from IVT to MT. Widespread use of intra- arterial thrombolytic and
	Thrombectomy Versus Combined Thrombolysis and Thrombectomy in Patients with Acute Stroke: A Matched- Control Study. <i>Stroke,</i> : 1589-1600	prospective nation-wide registry in China, 1793 consecutive patients with acute LVO of anterior or posterior circulation undergoing EVT at 111 hospitals from 26 provinces between Nov	LVO patients treated with MT only, compared with MT + IVT.	mRS 0-1, 0-2, 0-3. Chang in NIHSS score at baseline and day 7, successful recanalization TICI 2b-3, door to puncture, puncture to recanalization, pass number of thrombectomy. Safety outcomes: morality	difference in 90 day mRS score between groups Secondary: Shorter door to puncture in MT only patients (median 112 vs 136 min; $\beta$ =-45.02 [95% CI, -68.31 to -21.74]; P=0.05). No significant differences between both groups in the remaining secondary outcomes.	++ Real-world data, however confined to Chinese population. Large number of patients required rescue treatment including angioplasty, stenting, after failure of MT. Less sICH in MT only group but no difference in groups in functional outcome.

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
		Inclusion criteria – patients having MT and eligible for thrombolysis Propensity score matching to improve comparability between groups (significant differences between MT vs IVT +MT groups at baseline before PSM, however, groups comparable post PSM) Total of 1026 patients included in study (600 MT, 426 MT+IVT), 788 patients/394 matched pairs post PSM.		complications including intraprocedural embolization.	Safety outcomes: Less sICH in MT only group (5.5% vs 10.1%; OR, 0.52 [95% Cl, 0.30–0.91]; P=0.02). Less intraprocedural embolization in MT only group (4.6% vs 8.1%; OR, 0.54 [95% Cl, 0.30–0.98]; P=0.04). No significant difference between groups in other safety outcomes. Also undertook adjusted analysis of whole 1026 patient group with adjusted analysis, yielded similar results.	
	European Stroke Organisation (ESO) - European Society for Minimally Invasive Neurological Therapy (ESMINT) Guidelines on Mechanical Thrombectomy in Acute Ischaemic StrokeEndorsed by Stroke Alliance for Europe (SAFE). European Stroke Journal, 4:1 06-Dec	scenarios separately: 1. MT alone vs MT + IVT in patients eligible for both treatments presenting directly to mothership 2. Ship + MT alone vs IVT drip & ship + MT in patients presenting initially to non-MT-capable stroke centre. Methodology: Literature review, meta-analysis of best available evidence, evidence-based recommendation, expert consensus statement. For scenario 1. RCT evidence available from 6 separate RCTs directly addressing PICO question for mothership patients, 4 published (DIRECT_MT, DEVT, SKIP, MR CLEAN-NO IV), 2 conference presentations	Mothership patients, 5 of 6 RCTs non inferiority analysis for interventional arm MT alone compared to standard of MT + IVT (generous non- inferiority margins of 10-20%), one trial (MR CLEAN NO IV) superiority analysis. For meta-analysis blind polling decided on non-inferiority margin 1.3% Scenario 2. Meta- analysis of outcomes	0-2 Secondary outcomes and safety outcomes: Reduced disability, excellent outcome, sICH, Mortality, successful reperfusion, time metrics to puncture	Primary outcome: IN 4 of 6 RCTs primary outcome was mRS 0-2, mRS (cOR) primary outcome in 2 of 6 RCTs. Individual trial data: Two RCTs (DIRECT-MT and DEVT) met prespecified non-inferiority margin (20% and 10% respectively) for MT only compared with standard MT + IVT group. MR CLEAN-NO IV did not show superiority and failed to meet prespecified non inferiority margin of 20%. SKIP, SWIFT-DIRECT and DIRECT-SAFE failed to meet prespecified non inferiority margin. Meta-analysis: OR good outcome in MT alone 0.93 (95% CI 0.79-1.10, p=0.38)	patient group targeted by this guideline. The highest level of evidence is for patients directly presenting to MT capable centre. There are however concerns re deviations from intended intervention in DIRECT-MT, overly long door to

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
		Europe (2), Asia & Oceania (1). Undertook meta-analysis of above 6 RCTs, total of 2332	(included subgroup analysis of SELECT study, data from prospective ETIS registry).		analysis: no statistically significant difference in mortality rate and sICH. Occurrence of any haemorrhage less frequent in MT alone group. Successful reperfusion less frequent in MT alone group. Scenario 2: Drip and ship Primary outcome: Pooled OR for good outcome mRS 0-2 (2 studies) 0.63 (95%CI 0.48- 0.83, p=0.001)	
	Direct endovascular treatment: an alternative for bridging therapy in anterior circulation large-vessel occlusion stroke. <i>Eur J</i> <i>Neurol,</i> 24:7 935-943	Chinese registry contributed to	patients having bridging TPA therapy.	ASPECTS, ASITN collateral DSA score, 90-day good outcomes, mortality, door to puncture and recan, number of passess, TICI.	recan (106 vs 147 min), higher proportion of GA. Balanced	+ Differences may relate to logistical issues rather than pharmacological ones.
	Bridging Thrombolysis Achieved Better Outcomes Than Direct Thrombectomy after	bridging thrombolysis (BT,	(BT, IVT+MT) with	odds ratios (ORs) of BT versus d-MT were derived.	• • • •	This was well conducted but suffers from bias accruing from using non randomised data.

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
		the BT group and 4891 patients in the d-MT group were included.			days (OR=1.43 [95% CI, 1.28– 1.61]), had lower mortality at 90 days (OR=0.67 [95% CI, 0.60–0.75]), and achieved higher successful recanalization (modified Thrombolysis in Cerebral Ischemia score 2b-3) rate (OR=1.23 [95% CI, 1.07–1.42]). No significant difference was detected in the occurrence of symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage between 2 groups (OR=1.01 [95% CI, 0.86–1.19]).	
331	Bridging Thrombolysis Achieved Better Outcomes Than Direct Thrombectomy after Large Vessel Occlusion: An Updated Meta-	thrombolysis (BT, IVT + MT) with	bridging thrombolysis + MT (BT group) with MT alone (dMT group).	mRS 0-2 at 90 days, excellent clinical outcome (mRS 0-1), mortality, haemorrhagic complications, recanalization, subgroup analyses of IVT eligible patients only.	1.28-1.61) and excellent clinical outcome (OR 1.42, CI 1.21-1.67) at 90 days. Finding held for propensity score matched data. Subgroup analysis showed functional independence remained significantly higher in BT group regardless of IVT eligibility or study design (retrospective vs prospective). Difference in excellent clinical outcome however no longer significant in IVT eligible	receiving IVT and did not proceed to MT. Since this publication data from a number of RCTS and subsequent meta-analysis

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes		Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
					between groups. Higher rates of successful recanalization in BT group, however difference no longer significant in IVT eligible patients or in prospectively designed studies. Rate of complete recanalization (TICI 3) not different between groups.	
	Endovascular thrombectomy with or without intravenous	RCT in 41 Chinese hospitals (DIRECT-MT). 656 patients (327 MT alone, 329 IVT+MT).	+ MT versus MT alone	mRS at day 90 Secondary: mortality, eTICI pre-thrombectomy; eTICI 2b-3 after MT; CTA recanalization at 24-72h; 24h NIHSS; 24h infarct volume; EuroQOL; Barthel.	for noninferiority) but was	
	Endovascular thrombectomy with or	trial.	patients) vs Bridging IVT plus MT (327 patients).	90 days (functional independence). Secondary: ? superiority. Reperfusion (TICI score)	primary outcome.	Direct to MT noninferior in those presenting direct to tertiary (MT) centre.

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
				Safety: All and symptomatic haemorrhage.		
	Direct endovascular treatment versus bridging therapy in	analysis. Three RCTs and six observational studies (4 of which were propensity score-adjusted studies)		days. Mortality.	recanalization, functional	Acceptable quality of study. Based mostly on data from Chinese populations.
	Effect of Occlusion Site on the Safety and Efficacy of Intravenous Alteplase before Endovascular Thrombectomy: A Prespecified Subgroup	Prespecified subgroup analysis of a randomized trial evaluating risk and benefit of intravenous alteplase before thrombectomy (DIRECT-MT [Direct Intra-Arterial Thrombectomy in Order to Revascularize AIS Patients with Large Vessel Occlusion Efficiently in Chinese Tertiary Hospitals	occlusion site modifies the effect of intravenous alteplase	modified Rankin Scale at 90 days	-	This article was not fully relevant to PICO.
	Effect of Occlusion Site on the Safety and Efficacy of Intravenous Alteplase before Endovascular Thrombectomy: A	of the DIRECT-MT RCT evaluating	treatment allocation (MT vs IVT + MT) and outcome is modified by occlusion site.	Secondary: mortality a 90 days, rate of successful reperfusion before MT and after MT, recanalization at 24 hours on CTA, NIHSS score at 24	Primary: No difference in mRS at 90 days between MT only vs IVT + MT group. No significant treatment by	Missing data on occlusion site in 16 patients, limitations within DIRECT MT study itself including overly long door to needle time in IVT + MT group,

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
	Stroke, 53:1 Jul-16	in anterior circulation LVO patients (intracranial ICA, M1, M2) between Feb 2018 and July 2019 presenting directly to an EVT capable centre. 640 of 656 trial patients had baseline occlusion site recorded, (315/49.2% MT only group). Undertook multivariable ordinal logistic regression, assessed association of functional outcome with different occlusion sites, analysis undertaken both unadjusted and adjusted for age, baseline NIHSS, time from onset to randomization, mRS before onset, collateral blood flow status. Linear or binary logistic regression analysis with same adjustments undertaken for secondary outcomes.		dichotomised mRS score	analyses. Secondary: No significant treatment by occlusion site interaction for secondary outcomes.	puncture, 30 patients in IVT group did not get IVT, 30 more patients did not get full dose IVT. No evidence from this study that occlusion location can inform IVT decision making in anterior circulation MT patients
	Intravenous Alteplase Plus Endovascular Treatment on Functional	China. 33 CSCs. 234 subjects. NI RCT with 10% absolute diff NI margin. Ant circ stroke presenting to CSC within 4.5h of onset.	lssues with receiving allocated Rx especially in BT arm.	With non-inferiority margin of 10% Usual safety outcomes	54% mRS 0-2 for DEVT vs 47% for BT.	+ Important biases. Small size. Non-inferiority margin too generous.
		•	MT vs IVT/MT with 0.9mg/kg of alteplase.	-	patients were recruited before	+ Randomised controlled trial

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
	Plus Endovascular Treatment on Functional Independence in Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke: The DEVT Randomized	inferiority of MT vs IVT/MT in patients with LVO with intervention randomisation within 4 hours 15 minutes. LVO: ICA, M1 and M2 mRS<2. Randomisation ratio 1:1. 970 patients planned to be randomised with risk difference between both group being -10% (as non-inferiority margin).		as ordinal scale sICH 90 day mortality TICI ≥ 2b	IVT/MT (118 patients) Baseline characteristics similar Note: door to IVT (slow: 61 minutes) Functional independence 54.3% (MT) vs 46.6% (IVT/MT) with a difference of 7.7%. This does not cross this the line of	Inferior margins set arbitrarily (not scientifically based in previous data).
	Thrombectomy alone versus intravenous alteplase plus thrombectomy in patients with stroke: an open-label, blinded-	the Intention For Thrombectomy Plus Intravenous t-PA Versus DIRECT SolitaireTM Stent- retriever Thrombectomy in Acute Anterior Circulation Stroke: Methodology of a randomized, controlled, multicentre study.	Multicentre, prospective,	outcome at 90 days.	Non inferiority not proven as risk difference crossed non inferiority margin.	Proceeding direct to mechanical thrombectomy does not improve functional outcome compared to thrombectomy plus intravenous thrombolysis (IVT).
	Thrombectomy alone versus intravenous alteplase plus thrombectomy in	Multicentre, prospective, randomized 1:1, blinded end- point design. 48 stroke centres in Europe and Canada. First patient enrolled October 2017. 408	solitaire not preceded by alteplase, compared with control/standard of MT with solitaire after	Proportion of patients with good outcome (mRS 0-2) at 90 days Secondary outcomes: 90	MT . 90 day good outcome	++ The results of this study are directly applicable to the patient group targeted by this guideline.

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
	non-inferiority trial. <i>The Lancet</i> 400:10346 104-115	Inclusion criteria: Patients with	allowed after 3 passes with solitaire for both groups.	NIHSS at 24 hours, door to reperfusion time, health related quality of life at 90 days. Secondary technical efficacy outcomes: successful reperfusion prior to MT, successful	Secondary outcomes: no significant differences between groups for reduced disability, mortality, sICH. Post MT reperfusion higher with IVT + MT (96% vs 91%,	
698	standard bridging thrombolytic with endovascular thrombectomy within 4.5 h of stroke onset: an open-label, blinded- endpoint, randomised non-inferiority trial. <i>The Lancet</i> 400:10346 116-125	(abstract reviewed by Anthony P but no results but results	(standard care). 17% MT/IVT (TnK)	Inferiority margin -0.1. SICH. Death at 90 days.		Non inferiority not proven and therefore supports bridging therapy as standard,

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
	(2022). Endovascular thrombectomy versus standard bridging thrombolytic with endovascular thrombectomy within 4.5 h of stroke onset: an open-label, blinded-	Muti-centre open label PROBE RCT involving ICA, MCA, BA occlusive ischaemic stroke comparing direct MT with MT plus IVT (rTPA or TNK < 4.5 hours). Non inferiority trial. All comprehensive centres.	IVT vs usual care for LVO of IVT+MT (bridging IVT) Stopped early due to publication of meta- analysis of 4 earlier RCTs indicating non-	based on expert opinion which was 1.2-5% range)	PP mRS 0-2 or return to	++ Generally good with low risk of bias. Limited value in isolation but important contributor to 6 trial meta-analysis published by TURC et al 2022 in Journal of Neurointerventional Surgery /European Stroke Journal – IVT+MT should be standard of care if eligible for both