2023 Edition

Ref

Source

ID					checklist score) and comment
	Rockliffe, J., Pollock, A., Noonan, C., Howard, C., et al. (2016). Delivery of high quality stroke and vision care: experiences of UK services. <i>Disability and</i> <i>rehabilitation</i> , 38(8), 813-817.	Qualitative – interviews and focus groups	High quality integrated stroke - vision care services.	services included open access for referrals, ward assessments with aim of within 1 week of admission	Only high-quality services considered. Selection of services involved a subjective judgement of high quality. Mixture of interviews and focus groups.

Setting, design and subjects

NB Any discrepancies between reviewers in evidence quality and comment were discussed at the corresponding evidence review meeting

Intervention

SWOT = strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, threats; SR = systematic review, MA = meta-analysis, RCT = randomised controlled trial, IPDMA = individual patient data metaanalysis, MDT = multidisciplinary team, PICO = patient/population, intervention, comparison and outcomes, OR = odds ratio, CI = confidence interval, QoL = quality of life, ADL = activities of daily living, OR = odds ratio, RR = relative risk, aOR = adjusted odds ratio, cOR = crude odds ratio, CI = confidence interval, RoB = risk of bias, I2 = heterogeneity statistic.

Outcomes

Results

Question 24: What are the staffing requirements for orthoptic services on acute stroke units?

## Question 24 evidence tables

## NATIONAL CLINICAL GUIDELINE FOR STROKE

for the United Kingdom and Ireland

Evidence quality (SIGN

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
					of support from managers and consultants.	
	Rockliffe, J., Pollock, A., Noonan, C., Howard, C., et al. (2016). Delivery of high quality stroke and vision care: experiences of UK services. <i>Disability and</i> <i>rehabilitation</i> , 38(8), 813-817.	Quality" services for vision in	1:1 interviews and focus groups.	groups.	included: assessment within 1 week; at least 2 orthoptic sessions per week on stroke units; orthoptist named on core stroke team. Respondents valued the presence of an orthoptist on the stroke unit.	- Unclear how responses used to reach "key elements", particularly recommendation for minimum 2 orthoptic sessions per week. Most responses from orthoptists. Services selected as those having orthoptic services on stroke units – "High Quality" services defined by subjective criteria. No stroke physician or ophthalmologist views included. Potential for bias in sample of respondents.
	L. R., Hanna, K., & Howard, C. (2018). Timing of vision screening and assessment in an acute population. <i>International Journal</i> <i>of Stroke</i> , 13(3 Supplement 1), 11.	epidemiology study involving 3 acute stroke services in NW England' and recruiting 1500 participants over 15 months. The aim was to report the timing at which specialist vision screening is feasible in an acute stroke population.	team assessed all stroke survivors with assessment of visual acuity, visual fields, ocular alignment, ocular motility, visual	after stroke onset in days.	screening at a mean of 6.45 days (SD 24; median 3). 668 were assessed at baseline; 365 could not be assessed so were	Not assessed as this is only an abstract, however it is a descriptive analysis of a sub section of results from a large cohort study which was carried out reasonably well.
611	L. R., Hanna, K., &	Prospective, epidemiology study, across 3 stroke units. All stroke admissions were identified by the	team assessed all stroke survivors with	assessment; 172 could never be assessed. 1033		Early assessment in acute stroke of 4 days.

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
	screening and assessment in an acute population. <i>International Journal</i> <i>of Stroke</i> , 13(3 Supplement 1), 11.	patients were recruited.	ocular alignment,	6.45 days (SD 24; median 3). 668 were assessed at	stroke survivors could achieve a full visual assessment was at a median of 4 days. Reasons for not being able to undergo vision screening or assessment were mostly related to severity of stroke where stroke survivors were unable to participate in with vision testing.	feasible when undertaken by Orthoptists and important as a
	L. R., Howard, C., Hanna, K. L., Cheyne, C. P., & Currie, J. (2019). High incidence and prevalence of visual problems after acute stroke: An epidemiology study with implications for	prevalence and incidence of visual impairment acute stroke. A prospective epidemiology study. Across 3 stroke units, 1291 patients recruited. 99 died before full assessment. 169 couldn't be assessed. Overall 1023 patients were assessed. 959 underwent full visual assessment.	remaining patients on the stroke unit. patients had standard clinical assessment of visual acuity, visual fields, ocular alignment, ocular motility, visual	stroke-related visual impairment.	1291 patients were recruited: 99 died before full assessment 169 could never be assessed. 1023 patients were assessed with diagnosis. Over half were assessed at baseline. 959 underwent full visual assessment at a mean of 19.4 days. 28% had normal eye exams. 72% had visual impairment: 55.8% with impaired central vision, 41.7% with eye movement abnormalities, 28% with visual field loss, 27.2% with visual inattention and 4.3% with visual perceptual disorders. (7.3%) had visual impairment due to pre-existent causes.	-
	L. R., Howard, C., Hanna, K. L., Cheyne, C. P., & Currie, J. (2019). High incidence	Prospective epidemiology study of prevalence and incidence of vision impairments in an acute stroke cohort over the course of 1 year from 3 acute stroke services in NW England.		(defined as visual acuity >	of stroke admissions were unable to complete the	+ A good picture of the incidence of visual impairments due to stroke that highlights how commonly vision is affected.

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
	epidemiology study with implications for service delivery. <i>PLoS</i> <i>ONE</i> , 14(3), e0213035.	The authors were also seeking to determine feasibility of early timing of visual assessment. Visual screening examination was offered to all stroke admissions, (no research consent was required). The vision services in the three hospitals was staffed at the recommended level. 1033 stroke participants had visual screening. Descriptive statistics were used to report types of visual impairment		abnormalities (defined as ocular misalignment, incomplete ocular motility -Visual field loss: (homonymous hemianopia, quadrantanopia, scotoma) -Visual perceptual disorders (defined as impaired perception of visual objects or space, e.g.visual inattention,	The incidence of new onset visual sequelae was 48% for all stroke admissions and 60% in stroke survivors. Three quarters 752/1033 (73%) had visual problems (point prevalence): 56% with impaired central vision, 40% eye movement abnormalities, 28% visual field loss, 27% visual inattention, 5% visual perceptual disorders. 281/1033 (27%) had normal eye exams.	
	assessment in a stroke population. <i>Eye</i> , 25(2),		Standardised MDT screening/referral form	determined by orthoptic vision assessment	Low sensitivity and specificity: Sensitivity = 0.42, Specificity = 0.52 High positive predictive value = 91% Agreement using Kappa =0.428. 58% referrals had no signs reported and relied on symptom reporting. 23.5% referred had documented communication difficulties.	++
612	J. (2011). Accuracy of referrals for visual assessment in a stroke population. <i>Eye</i> , 25(2),	accuracy of referrals from MDT stroke team requesting visual assessments. Prospective multi-centre observational case-cohort study.	to the orthoptists for assessment. 423	alignment and motility, evaluation of saccadic, smooth pursuit and vergence eye movements	had a confirmed diagnosis of visual impairment of varying	Agree it is important that stroke survivors who have visual difficulties are referred for appropriate ocular examination.

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
		Multicentre prospective study undertaken in 20 acute trust hospitals. Stroke survivors referred with suspected visual difficulty were recruited. Standardised screening All centres had a linked stroke specialist Orthoptist.	Gold standard assessment completed by Orthoptist when evaluating visual status.	deficits were recorded after questioning the patients.	21% abnormal eye movements, 17% visual field loss, 5.5% visual acuity, 1% visual inattention 1% visual perceptual abnormalities. Combinations of these diagnoses were found in the remaining patients (45%) A high positive predictive value for referral demonstrating- 58% of referrals had no signs but referred on symptoms and suspicion of patients behaviour.	Main issue with this study is that all units involved in the study had a designated specialist Orthoptist. May lead to heightened awareness among the MDT
614	British and Irish Orthoptic Society (2021). The British and Irish Orthoptic Society (BIOS) Position Statement for Vision Services in Stroke Practice.	UK and Ireland Position statement			Based on a reduced length of stay, established high prevalence of visual impairment post-stroke since previous recommendation, minimum orthoptic staffing recommendations are 0.4 FTE per 10 bedded hyper-acute unit, 0.2 FTE per 10 bedded acute stroke unit and 0.1 FTE per 10 bedded neuro- rehabilitation unit, plus 0.1 FTE for outpatient follow per 30 bedded stroke provision. Allowance should also be made for administrative workload.	
614	British and Irish Orthoptic Society (2021). The British and Irish Orthoptic Society	Recommendations from BIOS. Statement that the recommendations use "a	None.	None.	Recommends the following orthoptist staffing: HASU: 0.4 FTE per 10 beds ASU: 0.2 FTE per 10 beds	- Literature not systematically reviewed.

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
	Services in Stroke Practice.	combination of current research evidence from the medical literature and Cochrane systematic reviews, expert consensus from the Orthoptic Clinical Advisory Group (CAG) in Stroke and neuro-rehabilitation and models of best practice.			beds 1 outpatient follow-up clinic per week per 30 beds.	Recommends assessment within 3 days or before discharge. Doesn't show how recommended staffing levels deliver this, or recommended model of care.
615	L. R., Howard, C., Hanna, K. L., & Currie, J. (2022). Impact of visual impairment	Units in North West England. Cohort study. >=18 years old. Within 2 weeks of stroke. Clinical diagnosis of stroke from stroke specialist. Admissions over 15 months.	after stroke onset – typically within 1 week of admission.	visual perception, attention or eye movements. Orthoptist attributed to pre-existing or stroke-related problem	suggests all admissions may have been identified. Of these, 1204 were assessed. 296 not assessed (116 died, 180 unable to undergo assessment; 53 of these discharged before assessment). Of 1204 assessed, 867 had some sort of visual problem. 703 assessed to have new stroke-related visual impairment. Of patients with visual impairment (of all stroke survivors assessed): 75% (44%) had problem with central vision. 58% of these asymptomatic. 44% (26%, 25% new) had visual field loss. 46% of these were asymptomatic.	for times to assessment, but that had a slightly different recruitment period (the other

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
					( ,	treated by the orthoptist without onward referral. Did not state what additional utility follow-up had compared with initial assessment.
615	L. R., Howard, C., Hanna, K. L., & Currie, J. (2022). Impact of visual impairment following stroke (IVIS study): a prospective clinical profile of central and peripheral visual deficits, eye movement abnormalities and visual perceptual deficits. <i>Disabil</i> <i>Rehabil</i> , 44(13), 3139- 3153.	survivors 18 years of age or older with the ability to agree to vision screening using verbal or nonverbal indications of agreement. 1500 stroke participants were recruited. 1204 stroke survivors had visual assessment. Follow up assessments were provided to those with visual impairment usually at weekly intervals while	the point prevalence and incidence of visual impairments in acute stroke.	function included: -visual acuity for near and distance, monocular and binocular (logMAR, Cardiff grating acuity cards, Vocational near visual acuity, fixing and following observations), -visual field assessment (visual fields to confrontation, static/ kinetic perimetry), -ocular alignment assessment (cover/uncover test), -rotation of eye	field loss in 308, ocular motility abnormalities in 533, visual perception deficits in 59 , visual inattention in 315. About half, regardless of visual impairment type, were visually 'asymptomatic' the patients or others had not noticed their vision problems. Recovery, whether full or partial, was best for central vision, ocular motility abnormalities and visual perception deficits (about 70%	This paper makes a good stab at determining the point prevalence of various visual impairments in a large sample of 1500 acute stroke patients. The authors also attempted to determine incidence (the impairments that were likely due to the recent stroke) and the proportion of patients showing recovery of the impairments. Unlike Rowe's previous 'incidence' studies of visual impairments found by stroke vision specialists in this
		an in-patient and, for out-patient visits, at 4, 12 and 26 weeks, and with allowance for longer follow- up where relevant according to individual patient needs. These enabled estimates of recovery, proportion of patients recovering within the time frames of the study. Descriptive statistics were used to report types of visual impairment.		smooth pursuit	a mean follow-up period of 2– 3months.	large study Comprehensive visual examination was offered to all stroke survivors who were recruited from the sites and not just to those referred to the stroke vision services. Limitation was that the study was limited geographically to 3 sites in NW England.

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
				-lid and pupil function, -visual perception (non- validated checklist), -stereopsis (Frisby stereotest), -visual inattention (line bisection, cancellation task, clock drawing, memory-guided tasks, room description, clinical observation).		
	Howard, C., Hanna, K. L., Currie, J., & Rowe, F. J. (2021). "Eye" Don't See: An Analysis of Visual Symptom Reporting by Stroke Survivors from a Large Epidemiology Study. <i>J</i> <i>Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis</i> , 30(6), 105759.	epidemiology study. Aim of the study to explore the reported symptoms of post-stroke visual impairment from a large epidemiology study. 3 hospitals HASU ASU in North West of England. All stroke patients in the acute phase. Each of three stroke units had 2 Orthoptic sessions per week. With additional outpatient	function, assessment visual acuity, colour vision, ocular alignment, ocular movements, binocular vision, visual fields, visual inattention,	symptoms despite presence of objectively confirmed visual	Commonly reported symptom blurred vision (22.4%) Visual field loss (14.1%), diplopia (11%), reading difficulty	This study does highlight the importance of a comprehensive screening for all stroke survivors. Acceptable study.
	L., Currie, J., & Rowe, F. J. (2021). "Eye" Don't See: An Analysis	epidemiology study. Visual assessment attempted for all stroke admissions to three	included a case study, visual acuity, ocular alignment, ocular	Vocational near visual acuity or Cardiff acuity cards.	14.5% were unable to report symptoms.	+ Useful article on what should be covered on a visual assessment and the range of visual difficulties after Stroke,

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
	Survivors from a Large Epidemiology Study. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis, 30(6), 105759.	2015. Each of the three stroke units had two orthoptic sessions per week with an additional outpatient orthoptic clinic for follow up appointments after stroke unit discharge.	visual perception. Initial assessment was undertaken at a median of 4 days post- stroke, follow up of those with visual impairment was weekly whilst an inpatient and at clinically appropriate intervals for outpatients.	Contrast sensitivity assessment – MARs test. Ocular alignment – cover uncover test Ocular movements – saccadic, smooth pursuits and vergence. Binocular vision – 20 prism dioptre base out, prism fusion range, Frisby stereotest. Visual fields – confrontation test, kinetic/static perimetry	blurred/altered vision 22.1%, field loss 12.6%, diplopia 9.9%, and reading difficulties 9.7%. Stroke survivors with a squint and cranial nerve palsies were significantly more likely to report symptoms. About 40% of stroke survivors with new onset visual symptoms did not or could not report visual symptoms. Stroke type for those with visual impairment was infarction 89.2% and haemorrhage 10.8%.	Study limited by only studying stroke patients in three stroke units in the North West of England. Not always possible to identify which visual impairment

Ref	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN
ID				reporting versus category of visual impairment.		checklist score) and comment
	Vision In Stroke cohort: Profile overview of visual impairment. <i>Brain</i> <i>Behav</i> , 7(11), e00771.	observational case cohort study. This was undertaken in 20 acute Trust hospitals The data was collected by the local orthoptic principle investigator. Target population was stroke patients suspected of having a visual difficulty. Referrals were from inpatient wards, rehabilitation units,	form used and a standardized investigation sheet consisting of pre- existent ocular pathology, symptoms and signs, investigations of visual field, ocular motality and perceptual aspects. Quality of life was undertaken using the Activities of Daily Living Dependent on Vision (ADLDV) questionnaire.	the statistical package SPSS version 22. Pearson chi squared test was undertaken to analyse cross tabulations of results for visual field loss and outcome of follow-up versus factors such as age, presence of other visual impairment, laterality and area of stroke and recovery.	field loss, 20% had eye movement abnormalities, 2%	
	Vision In Stroke cohort: Profile	Multicentre prospective study in 20 acute trust hospitals (UK). Local orthoptic principal investigator. Referral made from	visual difficulty were identified using a	qualitatively by traditional confrontation methods.	analysed using SPSS version 22	Wide range of visual disorders following stroke. Stroke survivors require screening and

Ref ID	Source	Setting, design and subjects	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Evidence quality (SIGN checklist score) and comment
	impairment. <i>Brain Behav,</i> 7(11), e00771.	units, community services, outpatient clinics. Data collected centrally at University of Liverpool. Patients only excluded if unable to consent due to cognitive impairment. 1,345	inability to provide informed consent, patients discharged prior to receiving visual assessment, diagnosis changed to TIA or other, patient died. eye assessment consisting of identification of known pre-existing ocular pathology, symptoms and signs,	retinal correspondence,	normal visual assessment. 92 % confirmed visual impairment (24% central visual, 16% acquired strabismus, 68% ocular motility disorders, 52% homonymous hemianopia, 52% visual inattention, 4.6% other visual perceptual disorders. Overall 84% visually symptoms with visual field loss. Treatment options provided: refraction, prisms and occlusion. 430 patients were excluded (inability/unwilling to consent, discharged prior to assessment, diagnosis changed to TIA or other, or died.	assessment by specialist assessment.